

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/608,702 06/27/2003		06/27/2003	Thomas S. Ellis	DP-309231	9673	
22851	7590	04/04/2005		EXAMINER		
		LOGIES, INC.	NGUYEN, DILINH P			
M/C 480-410-202 PO BOX 5052				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI 48007				2814		
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summan		10/608,702	2	ELLIS ET AL.	((Oly)				
Oπ	ice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		-				
		DiLinh Ngu	<u> </u>	2814						
The M Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the	cover sheet with the co	orrespondence addı	ress					
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply of Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rereply is specified above, the maximum statutory periowithin the set or extended period for reply will, by statued by the Office later than three months after the mail form adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statut d will apply and will ute, cause the applic	ort, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.					
Status										
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed on 24	January 2005	•							
2a)∏ This ac)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.									
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of C	laims									
4)⊠ Claim(s 4a) Of t 5)□ Claim(s 6)⊠ Claim(s 7)□ Claim(s	Claim(s) 2.4-14 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2.4-14.16-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
Application Pap	ers									
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the Examir	ner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
• • •	nt may not request that any objection to th	Ŧ.,								
•	ement drawing sheet(s) including the corre h or declaration is objected to by the I	=").				
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119									
a)	reledgment is made of a claim for foreign b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document C	nts have been nts have been iority documer eau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications rts have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage					
Attachment(s)	rences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	-,	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	152)	•				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2 and 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminaga et al. (U.S. Pat. 6257215) in view of Shin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6593404).
 - Regarding claim 4, Kaminaga et al. disclose an encapsulated, overmolded and/or underfilled electrical component, comprising:

an electrical component encapsulated 1, overmolded and/or underfilled with a polymeric composite including a synthetic resin matrix 7 and inorganic filler particles substantially uniformly distributed in the matrix (fig. 1a, column 6, lines 10-15).

Kaminaga et al. fail to disclose the particles having a platelet structure and the inorganic filler content being 20 percent or less by weight based on the weight of the polymeric composite.

However, Shin et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising particles having a platelet structure defined by opposite substantially flat and substantially parallel faces (the inorganic fillers such as montmorillonite) (column 3, lines 60), the inorganic filler content being 20 percent or less by weight based on the weight of the polymeric composite (the inorganic filler is 0 to 50 parts by weight based on 100 parts by weight of the polymeric composite) (column 6, lines 57-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/608,702 Page 3

Art Unit: 2814

the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the particles of Kaminaga et al. by having inorganic fillers such as montmorillonite, as taught by Shin et al., in order to provide excellent stress cracking resistance and improve heat resistance (column 2, lines 54-56) and improve adhesion for the semiconductor package. Moreover, selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co., Inc. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

- Regarding claim 2, Kaminaga et al. disclose wherein the electrical component is a substrate 1 having an electrical circuit formed on at least one surface of the substrate and at least one semiconductor chip 3 electrically connected to the electrical circuit (fig. 1A).
- Regarding claim 5, Shin et al. disclose the inorganic filler is 0 to 50 parts by weight based on 100 parts by weight of the polymeric composite (column 6, lines 57-62).
- Regarding claims 6-7, Shin et al. disclose wherein the filler is a smectite clay mineral and wherein the smectite clay mineral is montmorillonite (column 3, line 60).
- Regarding claims 8-9, Kaminaga et al. disclose the matrix is an epoxy resin (column 3, lines 67 and column 6, line 10).
- Regarding claim 10, Shin et al. disclose that the device comprises a thermoplastic resin (abstract).
- Regarding claim 11, Shin et al. disclose the resin is selected from the group consisting of polycarbonate and copolymer (abstract).

Art Unit: 2814

3. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminaga et al. (U.S. Pat. 6257215) in view of Shin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6593404) and further in view of Capote et al. (U.S. Pat. 6335571).

As discussed in details above, the combination of Kaminaga et al. and Shin et al. substantially disclose all the limitations as claimed above except for the composite has a CTE from about 5 to 20 ppm/°C.

However, Capote et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising a composite has a CTE from about 5 to 20 ppm/°C (cover fig., column 8, lines 15-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of the above combination to minimize the stress on the solder joint for the composite, as shown by Capote et al. (column 8, lines 17-19).

- 4. Claims 13-14 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminaga et al. (U.S. Pat. 6257215) in view of Shin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6593404).
 - Regarding claim 13, Kaminaga et al. disclose an encapsulated, overmolded and/or underfilled electrical component, comprising:

an electrical component encapsulated 1, overmolded and/or underfilled with an epoxy package matrix 7 and an inorganic particulate filler (fig. 1a, column 6, lines 10-15).

Kaminaga et al. fail to disclose the epoxy package 7 is a thermoplastic resin matrix.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,702 Page 5

Art Unit: 2814

Shin et al. disclose a semiconductor device comprising a thermoplastic resin composition including an inorganic particulate filler (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the thermoplastic resin composition as known material, as taught by Shin et al. into the device structure of Kaminaga et al. for forming a polymeric composite, such the thermoplastic resin would provide excellent stress cracking resistance and improve heat resistance (column 2, lines 54-56). Moreover, selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co., Inc. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

- Regarding claim 14, Kaminaga et al. disclose wherein the electrical component is
 a substrate 1 having an electrical circuit formed on at least one surface of the
 substrate and at least one semiconductor chip 3 electrically connected to the
 electrical circuit (fig. 1A).
- Regarding claims 16-17, Shin et al. disclose the inorganic filler is 0 to 50 parts by weight based on 100 parts by weight of the polymeric composite (column 6, lines 57-62).
- Regarding claims 18-19, Shin et al. disclose the filler is montmorillonite (column 3, lines 55-60).
- Regarding claim 20, Shin et al. disclose the resin is selected from the group consisting of polycarbonate and copolymer (abstract).

5. Claims 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaminaga et al. (U.S. Pat. 6257215) in view of Shin et al. (U.S. Pat. 6593404) and further in view of Yu et al. (U.S. Pat. 5153657).

As discussed in details above, the combination of Kaminaga et al. and Shin et al. substantially disclose all the limitations as claimed above except for the inorganic filler is glass spheres.

Yu et al. disclose an inorganic filler is glass spheres (column 13, lines 45) and wherein an average diameter of from about 1 micrometer to about 3 micrometers (column 14, lines 36-37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select glass spheres as known material, as taught by Yu et al. into the device structure of the above combination for forming the inorganic fillers as being claimed since the glass spheres would maintain good conformance in the lateral direction (column 12, lines 31-32). Moreover, selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co., Inc. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DiLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1712. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 6:00PM (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (571) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,702

Art Unit: 2814

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN

HOAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER